

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

KRISTOPHER DORR,

Plaintiff,

v.

FITNESS INTERNATIONAL LLC  
d/b/a LA FITNESS LLC  
Defendants.

Case No. 17-cv-10337

Honorable Laurie J. Michelson

Magistrate Judge Elizabeth A. Stafford

---

**ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT’S MOTION TO DISMISS [12]**

---

Before the Court is Magistrate Judge Elizabeth A. Stafford’s September 28, 2017, Report and Recommendation. (R. 20.) At the conclusion of her Report and Recommendation, Magistrate Judge Stafford notified the parties that they were required to file any objections within fourteen days of service, as provided in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b)(2) and Eastern District of Michigan Local Rule 72.1(d), and that “[f]ailure to file specific objections constitutes a waiver of any further right of appeal.” (R. 20, PID 203.) Objections were due on October 16, 2017. It is now November 7, 2017. As such, the time to file objections has expired. No objections have been filed.

The Court finds that the parties’ failure to object is a procedural default, waiving review of the Magistrate Judge’s findings by this Court. In *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947, 949–50 (6th Cir. 1981), the Sixth Circuit established a rule of procedural default, holding that “a party shall file objections with the district court or else waive right to appeal.” And in *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 144 (1985), the Supreme Court explained that the Sixth Circuit’s waiver-of-appellate-review rule rested on the assumption “that the failure to object may constitute a procedural default waiving review even at the district court level.” 474 U.S. at 149; *see also Garrison v. Equifax Info. Servs., LLC*, No. 10-13990, 2012 WL 1278044, at \*8 (E.D. Mich. Apr.

16, 2012) (“The Court is not obligated to review the portions of the report to which no objection was made.” (citing *Thomas*, 474 U.S. at 149–52)). The Court further held that this rule violates neither the Federal Magistrates Act nor the Federal Constitution.

The Court therefore finds that the parties have waived further review of the Magistrate Judge’s Report and accepts her recommended disposition. It follows that the motion to dismiss filed by Defendant Fitness International LLC (R. 12) is GRANTED. Accordingly, the case is dismissed without prejudice.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 7, 2017

s/Laurie J. Michelson  
LAURIE J. MICHELSON  
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing document was served upon counsel of record and any unrepresented parties via the Court’s ECF System to their respective email or First Class U.S. mail addresses disclosed on the Notice of Electronic Filing on November 7, 2017.

s/Keisha Jackson  
Case Manager